PERSONS AND TECHNOLOGY

What We Are About Editor

Persons and Technology John Lachs

Creation's Orphans:
Toward a Metaphysics
of Artifacts

Erazim Kohak

Reviews

Volume 1/Number 1

Spring 1985

The Personalist Forum

Editor: Thomas O. Burford, Furman University
Editorial Assistant: Mark Stone, Furman University

Literature Editor: John Howie, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale

Board of Editorial Consultants:
Frederick P. Ferre, University of Georgia
Mary T. Clark, Manhattanville College
John Lachs, Vanderbilt University
John Smith, Yale University
Donald P. Verene, Emory University
Jack F. Padgett, Albion College
David Shaner, Furman University
John H. Lavely, Boston University
Erazim V. Kohak, Boston University
Peter A. Bertocci, Boston University
Warren E. Steinkraus, SUNY Oswego

Harold H. Oliver, Boston University

THE PERSONALIST FORUM is a bi-annual journal devoted to publishing scholarly work that addresses issues of being persons in this world. We share a common conviction that philosophy must take personal categories seriously, speak to issues that confront persons and do so in a language that strives for maximal comprehensibility. Organized around a theme, each issue will include articles and a review of philosophical works relevant to that theme.

Address for editorial communications and submission of manuscripts: Editor, c/o the Department of Philosophy, Furman University, Greenville, South Carolina, 29613. Two copies of a manuscript, together with return postage or international coupon, should be submitted. Authors are furnished 3 free copies of the issue containing their article. Permission to reprint articles, in whole or in part, must be secured from the Editor and from the author concerned. Annual subscription rates are \$8 for individuals, \$10 for institutions, \$4 for individual copies. The Editorial Office should be notified of any change of address at least three weeks before it is intended to take effect. Subscriptions and correspondence in connection therewith should be sent to:

THE PERSONALIST FORUM

Department of Philosophy/Furman University/Greenville, South Carolina 29613

Copyright @1985 by The Personalist Forum

The Personalist Forum

Persons and Technology

. 1
. 5
22
,

The Personalist Forum

Spring 1985, Vol I, No. 1

What We Are About*
The Editor

The Personalist Forum is offered as an act of faith by those who believe that people matter. We have no strict dogma to propagate, but we do share a common conviction: philosophy should take personal categories seriously, speak to issues that confront persons, and do so in a language that persons, not only philosophical technicians, can understand.

We are quite aware that these convictions go squarely against the trend of contemporary philosophy. In a technological age, philosophy inevitable faces a constant temptation to become a techne. Much of contemporary philosophical writing is based on the unspoken assumption that ultimate reality is impersonal, either infrapersonal, matter in motion, drives and gratification, or suprapersonal, whether "History" or "system." The problems that occupy many contemporary philosophers are again not the problems of persons, but problems of textual scholarship or of conceptual systems, and the language in which much philosophical writing is done is not the language of persons but the private language of philosophical technicians.

We have no wish to deny the validity of philosophy so conceived. We appreciate the crucial need for rigorous scholarship without which philosophy quickly degenerates into platitudinous pontification. Nor do we deny the need for precision and the special terminology that it at times demands.

*This statement, articulated by Professor Erazim Kohak of Boston University, declares the principles on which <u>The Personalist Forum</u> is founded. The Editor.

However, we do deny the adequacy of philosophic techne. Something more is needed: philosophy done as if people mattered.

That we believe to be the broadest sense of the time honored term, "personalism." Personalism is a philosophy predicated upon the irreducibility and primacy of personal categories, that is, the kind of categories that govern the meaningful interaction among personal beings--categories of meaning rather than cause, of respect rather than force, of moral value rather than efficacy, understanding rather than explanation. While we recognize the legitimacy of materialistic categories derived from the metaphor of matter in motion and of vitalistic categories derived from the metaphor of need and satisfaction for certain purposes, we regard them as derivative, special case theories legitimate within the basic framework of personal categories. It is moral categories that we consider epistemologically and ontologically fundamental, not merely a peculiari-ty of human subjects but most approximating the ultimate structure of reality. In a time honored metaphor, though reality can at times be treated as a system of matter in motion, ultimately it is a society of persons, and is so to be understood.

For that reason we regard personal "moral" in an eighteenth century sense of the word) problems as the proper focus of philosophic thought. Textual scholarship of the highest order is, of course, essential, though as a philosopher's homework rather than his work. A philosopher must do his homework to do his work properly, but ultimately his work is to confront the problems that confront persons, the problems of making sense and responding adequately to the challenge of being a moral subject in the cosmos. While other problems, too, are legitimately philosophical, we think the most pressing philosophical problems to be -- in the words of Socrates -- those of not only living but living rightly. Thus we consider the moral issues of dealing with, say, our

environment prior to issues of utility, the moral issues of the relations of persons and society prior to issues of systems and structures. Philosophy must do a great deal more as well, but it must first speak clearly to persons amid the bewildering task of living rightly in a deeply disturbed world.

That, too, leads us to the conviction that philosophy must strive earnestly for maximal comprehensibility. The technical language of philosophic techne, inner code of philosophic systems, need not be always illegitimate, but its use must be justified by clear and present need. Wherever possible, philosophy must speak the language understood by persons, the language of ordinary experience. It must, to be sure, speak it better, more precisely, more sensitively, but its goal must be to evoke understanding, not to impress with obscurity.

In beginning The Personalist Forum we offer a journal committed to the irreducibility of personal or "moral" categories. Though we are guite prepared to print contributions questioning that assumption, our common commitment is to take it seriously, whether to derive its consequences or to criticize it, but to take it seriously. second is the commitment to address issues that confront persons. Again we are prepared to print contributions devoted to problems of pure textual scholarship, when relevant to our shared concerns. We would, for instance, welcome an article on the meaning of the category "person," seeking less a consensus than a clarification of alternatives However, our primary purpose is to among us. publish papers that address issues of being human in this world. Finally, the third is our commitment to write in a language that can be understood by intelligent readers, strenuously avoiding jargon whenever possible. We expect our contributors, if at all possible without loss of clarity, to write of, say, "hearing a person speaking" rather than "locutionary behavior manifested by the subject was observed by the researcher."

In launching our journal, we are clearly building upon a tradition. Even the title, The Personalist Forum, is made up of the titles of two formerly personalist journals, one now defunct, The Personalist and The Philosophical Forum. However, our aim is not simply to perpetuate the tradition of Boston Personalism. We plan to draw on personalism in other traditions, East and West, including Max Scheler and Thomas Masaryk, Emmanuel Mounier and Karol Wojtyla, Nakamura Hajime and Watsuji Tetsuro. Our purpose is to present, in an age of increasing depersonalization of our world and our thought, a philosophical option committed to the irreducibility of personal being and categories, the reality of problems facing persons, and the intelligibility of the language of persons.

That is our aim and our hope, and we cordially invite the cooperation of all who believe, as we do, that persons and the cosmos as personal must not become obsolete even in an age of impersonal ideology and technology.